ABSTRACT
Head and neck cancer occupy the seventh place among the most common neoplasms in the world, with an annual incidence of approximately 640,000 new cases. Radiotherapy treatment has been highlighted, because there is greater tissue preservation, possibility of regression of the disease, decreased tumor volume, prevention of possible tumor recurrences and metastases, and even total cancer cure, but patients undergoing radiotherapy are at high risk of osteoradionecrosis if they perform surgical interventions in the region, such as dental implants and dental extraction, making prosthetic rehabilitation of partially edentulous patients after treating oral cancer something complex and often omitted; although it is a crucial factor in restoring oral function. Thus, the aim of the present study was to describe the planning, treatment and follow-up of a twelve-month prosthetic approach to rehabilitate the lower arch shape and function of a partially edentulous patient undergoing head and neck radiotherapy with a full denture mucus supported and tooth retained. After twelve months of follow-up, it was possible to evidence the functional and aesthetic clinical success, with preserved occlusal pattern, soft and bone tissue around and dental roots.
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RESUMO
O câncer de cabeça e pescoço ocupa o sétimo lugar entre as neoplasias mais comuns do mundo, com uma incidência anual de aproximadamente 640.000 novos casos. O tratamento com radioterapia tem se destacado, pois há maior preservação tecidual, possibilidade de regressão da doença, diminuição do volume tumoral, prevenção de possíveis recorrências e metástases tumorais e até cura total do câncer, mas os pacientes submetidos à radioterapia apresentam alto risco de osteorradionecrose se realizar intervenções cirúrgicas na região, como implantes dentários e extração dentária, fazendo com que a reabilitação protética, de pacientes parcialmente dentados depois de tratar o câncer bucal, algo complexo e frequentemente omitido; embora seja um fator crucial para o reestabelecimento da função oral. Assim, o objetivo do presente estudo foi descrever o planejamento, tratamento e acompanhamento de doze meses de uma abordagem protética para reabilitar forma e função do arco inferior de um paciente parcialmente desdentado, submetido a radioterapia de cabeça e pescoço, com o uso de uma prótese total mucossuportada e dente retida. Após doze meses de acompanhamento, foi possível evidenciar o sucesso clínico funcional e estético, com padrão oclusal, rebordo alveolar e remanescentes dentários preservados.
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INTRODUCTION

Head and neck cancer rank seventh among the most common cancers in the world, with an annual incidence of approximately 640,000 new cases [1,2]. Its treatment depends on factors such as cancer type, staging, location, and may be performed by surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy or a combination of these [2]. The radiotherapy method has been highlighted because there is greater preservation of structures [3,4], possibility of disease regression, reduction of tumor volume, prevention of possible tumor recurrences and metastases, and even total cure [5].

Cancer patients tend to have a high prevalence of oral problems, either due to the side effects of the imposed treatments, or the general health conditions that may influence [6, 7], and the prosthetic rehabilitation of partially edentulous patients after the oral cancer treatments complex and often overlooked; although it is a crucial factor for such patients to restore oral and aesthetic functions [8].

The total overdenture prosthesis is a more comfortable and conservative solution for these patients, offering advantages over conventional prostheses, being more stable, functional, able to promote better chewing function [9], and partial proprioceptive capacity that would otherwise be lost with conventional total denture treatment [10].

This treatment option is interesting for complex patients such as those undergoing head and neck radiotherapy, considering the general contraindication to surgical procedures and involving any type of bone remodeling in the region, due to the inherent risk of osteoradionecrosis [11]. However, there are no case reports in the literature about prosthetic rehabilitation with overdentures in patients after cancer treatment.

Thus, the aim of this study was to describe the treatment and rehabilitation prosthetic follow-up with overdenture prosthesis in a patient who underwent head and neck radiation, with clinical follow-up of 12 months.

CASE REPORT

A 67-year-old male patient, upper and lower partial toothless, enrolled the “Onco Project” dental care clinic for cancer patients, at the Science and Technology Institute - ICT - UNESP, São José dos Campos - Brazil campus, for dental rehabilitation of the lower arch. In his medical history, the patient reported being HIV positive and diagnosed with vocal cord squamous cell carcinoma in 2008, which was treated by 35 radiotherapy sessions. After this, the patient was also diagnosed with prostate cancer, which was treated surgically showing good current health.

The patient came to with two provisional removable partial prosthesis in upper and lower jaws, installed 3 years ago (figure 1a), which was indicated for replacement considering its preservation state. His main complaint was the compromised aesthetics, and the difficulty of chewing with the inferior prosthesis.

Intraoral examination revealed preserved mandibular bone tissue and healthy gingival tissue. Only elements #22 and #27 were present in the lower arch, both with accentuated gingival recession, slight signs of gingival inflammation, but without signs of mobility, periodontal disease, periodontal pockets, primary and/or secondary caries (figure 1b). On radiographic examination both elements showed adequate bone support around the roots, without signs of pulp or periodontal disease.
Due to the patient’s medical history and associated risks of osteoradionecrosis in case of bone manipulation [11], the possibility of dental extractions or dental implants was rejected, as well as the option of removable partial dentures considering the unfavorable biomechanics and the aesthetics required by the patient. Therefore, the treatment option was total inferior rehabilitation with overdenture over elements #22 and #27, using them as prosthetic abutments.

Alginate impressions (Jeltrate Dustless, Dentsply, Pirassununga, SP, Brasil) were performed and plaster models were obtained to study the case; and impressions of the crowns of teeth #22 and #27 were performed with silicone material (Optosil, Heraeus Kulzer, São Paulo, Brazil) for subsequent confection of temporary crowns, as well as initial periapical radiographs of elements #22 and #27 for planning endodontic treatment.

Endodontic treatment of elements #22 and #27 was performed, and dental preparations for future intra-root retainers using #4138 diamond burs (KG Sorensen, São Paulo, Brazil). Both elements had their filling material removed, until 4 mm remained in their apical portions, obtaining smooth and divergent internal walls, with opening diameter being one third of the diameter of the root remnant. Then, the coronary remnant (cervical portion) was prepared with #4138 and #4138F diamond burs to obtain a chamfered finish. Subsequently, temporary crowns were made using the dense mass condensation silicone pre-molding technique (Optosil, Heraeus Kulzer, São Paulo, Brazil) in self-curing acrylic resin (Dencôr, Classic, Campo Limpo Paulista, SP, Brasil).

The Centric Relation was registered, considering the loss of vertical dimension due to the lack of posterior and anterior occlusal support. For this, an acrylic experimental base was made on working models with thermoplastized wax patterns used to obtain the vertical occlusion dimension, through phonetic and aesthetic analysis.

Obtaining the ideal vertical dimension of occlusion with the wax rollers, the facial arch was taken using the old upper prosthesis and mounted on a semi-adjustable articulator (Articulator 4000S, BioArt, São Paulo, Brazil).

Functional condensation silicone molding (Optosil and Xantopren, Heraeus Kulzer, Sao Paulo, Brazil) was then performed on stock trays by inserting the light molding material into the root canals, using elastomeric syringes (figure 2a). A functional type IV plaster model was made (Dent-Mix, Asfer, São Caetano do Sul, SP, Brazil), and the lower model was assembled in articulator in the maxillary mandibular position of Central Relations. Subsequently, the NiCr (Nickel Chromium) alloy intraradicular metal retainers with ball-shaped o’ring system were cast, which would serve to retain the new overdenture prosthesis (figures 2b and 2c).
After that, the artificial teeth were assembled in the wax roller on a test base, aesthetic and functional tests of the teeth, occlusal analysis and adjustments were made and sent for acrylization taking into consideration the positioning of the o’rings systems (figure 3a). With the new acrylic prosthesis, reliefs were performed in the internal region (figure 3b) over the dental remnants so that the o-ring caps retainers could freely occupy the internal space, and it was possible to capture this capsule inside the prosthesis base by using self-curing acrylic resin inserted with Nealon technique.

Afterwards, the cementation of the two intra-radicular posts with zinc phosphate cement (SS White, São Paulo, Brazil) was made and the provisional installation of the new total prosthesis (figures 4a to 4d), but still without the o’rings fitting, with the intention of not promoting stress on the retainers without first fully setting the cement.
Finally, the overdenture prosthesis was installed as described previously. Occlusal analyses and adjustments were made using carbon strips on the teeth during maximum intercuspation and extrusive movements, making adjustments in regions that were necessary, such as interferences. Instructions on care and handling of prostheses were given, such as cleaning and maintenance during periods that were not in use, as well as instructions for cleaning the o’rings and dental remnants. Control visits were held once a week for the first three weeks and once every six months, to change the o’rings rubber rings (figures 5a to 5d).

Figure 4 - 4a: Intraradicular retainer installed. 4b: Retention fittings installed over the retainers. 4c: Fittings captured by Nealon technique in the acrylic prosthesis. 4d: Completed prosthesis.

Figure 5 - 5a: Prosthesis installed. 5b-5d: Twelve month follow-up of the installation of the prosthesis.
DISCUSSION

The present article aimed to show an oral rehabilitation alternative in patients underwent to head and neck radiotherapy, with high risk of osteoradionecrosis (ORN), an exacerbated risk if undergoing oral surgery [11].

Considering the frequent difficulty of using lower complete dentures [12,13], different options have emerged with the intention of increasing retention and stability of such prostheses [14]. The advent of dental implants and techniques such as the Branemark Protocol, capable of promoting the rehabilitation of fixed total denture in the jaw, was one of the great milestones of dentistry [15], becoming a reality nowadays clinic for being able to restore function and aesthetics to the patient, with less difficulty in fitting and handling the prosthesis [16,17].

However, the use of dental implants has limitations and specific indications, considering its high value, its initial surgical phase, and because it is directly associated with bone manipulation [14]. One of the main contraindications for dental implants is in patients who underwent radiotherapy treatments due to cancer, especially in the head and neck region, as there is an inherent risk of osteoradionecrosis [3,11].

ORN is a harmful complication resulting from radiation therapy for head and neck cancer. According to the literature [11], jaw ORN is defined as exposed irradiated bone that does not heal for a period of 3 months without any evidence of tumor persistence or recurrence. Its mechanism of pathogenesis is not yet well understood, and it is under investigation, but the main reason is for radiation arteritis that leads to the development of a hypocellular, hypovascular and hypoxic environment, which results in the pathological condition [18,19]. Thus, it is highly recommended that all types of treatment performed on such patients do not involve any manipulation of bone tissue [11].

Thus, different oral rehabilitation techniques are preferable, such as the use of full denture overdentures on the teeth, from the use of ball-type attachments on cemented metallic root canal posts after endodontic treatment, which would be able to guarantee a certain level of fixation and stability of the prosthesis, keeping the dental elements in the mouth, avoiding the immediate need for extractions or other surgical procedures [20,21].

In addition to improving the fixation and stabilization of the prosthesis, the permanence and maintenance of the remaining dental elements ensures better levels of proprioception to the patient, considering that there is also a periodontal ligament at work and its ability to promote feedback to the central nervous system, increasing its sensory perception and influencing in the motor control of the masticatory muscles [22,23]. The loss of this proprioception can cause less tactile sensitivity and less coordinated masticatory muscle activity, which can create difficulties for food and adaptation [23-25].

The present study demonstrated the effectiveness of the proposed treatment, with a 12-month follow-up in function (figures 5b to 5d), as well as its greater financial viability when compared to dental implant rehabilitation, and its low risk for patients with such conditions.

The overdenture prosthesis, as a removable prosthesis, has some inherent characteristics and limitations, such as the need for removal for cleaning, storage in containers with water and sanitizing solutions at least once a day, and the slight weight that occurs for the non-permanent fixation of the prosthesis during the function. It also presents its inherent risks in the making and maintenance, such as the possibility of carious lesions in the dental remnants, diseases and periodontal alterations, dental fractures and technical and mechanical complications of the prosthetic joint, such as fracture of the prosthesis, retainer retention and loss of the rings. Although evidence shows that, in well-planned and indicated cases, and with adequate maintenance by the patient and dental surgeon, this treatment modality is valid and has a high success rate [13,21].
CONCLUSIONS

It was concluded that the option of rehabilitation treatment based on the use of overdenture full dentures on dental remnants in patients who cannot undergo surgical interventions has been shown to be effective for the time evaluated and may be an alternative, with broad patient satisfaction and evidencing a less invasive restorative possibility in cases submitted to radiotherapy for head and neck cancer; however, further studies with a larger number of patients and longer follow-up are needed for better conclusions.
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