ABSTRACT

Objective: To evaluate the effect of different occlusal preparation designs and CAD/CAM materials on the fracture resistance of maxillary premolars endcrowns.

Materials and Methods: sixty-four endodontically treated upper first premolars were randomly divided into four groups according to ceramic materials (Vita Enamic and IPS emax CAD) and occlusal preparation designs (Anatomical and horizontal butt joint). After teeth preparation, the restorations were all made by CAD/CAM system (Cerec MCXL). Half of each group had undergone cyclic fatigue testing of 105 cycles with 50N loading force at a frequency of 0.5Hz in a mechatronic chewing simulator machine, and then all samples were loaded to fracture using a universal testing machine with a cross head speed of 0.5 mm/ min recording the fracture resistance values in N . The specimens were measured and statistically analyzed using three-way analyses of variance (ANOVA), followed by serial two-way and one-way ANOVAs at each level of the study. P-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using BENFORRONI correction and the significance level was set at P ≤ 0.05 for all tests. Results: Vita Enamic endcrowns showed higher fracture resistance values than IPS e max specimens. Conclusions: Vita Enamic endcrowns with anatomical preparations were found to be more favourable restoring endodontically treated maxillary premolars.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Avaliar o efeito de diferentes tipos de preparo oclusal e materiais CAD/CAM na resistência à fratura de coroas endodônticas adesivas em pré-molares. Materiais e Métodos: sessenta e quatro primeiros pré-molares superiores tratados endodonticamente foram divididos randomicamente em quatro grupos de acordo com os materiais cerâmicos (Vita Enamic e IPS emax CAD) e tipos de preparo oclusal (Recobrimento Incisal Anatômico e Horizontal). Após o preparo dental, as restaurações foram confeccionadas pelo sistema CAD/CAM (Cerec MCXL). Metade de cada grupo foi submetido a testes de fadiga cíclica de 105 ciclos com força de carga de 50N a uma frequência de 0,5Hz em uma máquina simuladora de mastigação mecatrônica, e então todas as amostras foram submetidas a fratura por uma máquina de teste universal com uma velocidade de 0,5 mm / min registrando os valores de resistência à fratura em N. As amostras foram medidas e analisadas estatisticamente usando análises de variância de três fatores (ANOVA), seguidas por ANOVAs de dois fatores e de um fator em cada nível do estudo. Os valores de p foram ajustados para comparações múltiplas usando a correção BENFORRONI e o nível de significância estabelecido foi de P ≤ 0,05 para todos os testes. Resultados: Coroas endodônticas adesivas da Vita Enamic mostraram maiores valores de resistência à fratura do que as amostras de IPS emax. Conclusões: Verificou-se que as coroas endodônticas adesivas da Vita Enamic com preparos com recobrimento incisal anatômico foram mais favoráveis para restaurar os pré-molares superiores tratados endodonticamente.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Coroas endodônticas adesivas; Restaurações totalmente cerâmicas; Carga cíclica; CAD/CAM.
INTRODUCTION

Restoring endodontically treated teeth continues to be a challenge in reconstructive dentistry. Endocrowns have been proved by many authors to be a viable restorative alternative to conventional crowns for endodontically treated molars [1,2].

The endocrown is a “one-piece ceramic construction comprising a circumferential butt margin and a central retention cavity inside the pulp chamber and constructs both the crown and core as a single unit”. The main advantages of such approach are utilizing the available surface in the pulp chamber to improve retention through adhesive bonding in addition to conservatism by following the concept of decay-orientated design [3,4]. Pissis [5] was the forerunner of the endocrown technique, describing it as the “monobloc” porcelain technique.

Nowadays the concept of endocrowns have been extended to involve premolars despite the debate regarding their biomechanical behaviour and long-term serviceability. Whether the concept is similarly successful in premolars as proved to be in molars is still controversial. In a clinical study, Bindl et al. [6] evaluated the performance of 208 endocrowns cemented to premolars and molars and observed that the premolars presented more failures than the molars, this might be explained by the fact that the available surfaces for adhesive bonding are more in molars than premolars [1], beside the ratio between the crown base and height causing high leverage for the premolars than molars [7,8]. Moreover, Cusp elongation in maxillary premolars due to pulp chamber deroofing in the process of endodontic access cavity preparation tends to separate the buccal and palatal cusps under occlusal load [9].

The recent innovations in ceramic materials and CAD/CAM technologies are developed to enable the accomplishment of high aesthetic demands and to limit the shortcoming of conventional materials and methods; i.e., low tensile strength, sintering shrinkage, excessive brittleness, wear of antagonist, crack propagation [10] and marginal gaps [11]. Nowadays, lithium disilicate material have been widely marketed, because of its adhesive properties [12], being minimilay invasive [13] together with combing ultimate esthetics and clinically successful mechanical behaviour [14].

The ongoing research for a biomimetic material with physico-mechanical properties similar to those of natural tooth structure paved the way to the development of a new generation of hybrid blocks for CAD-CAM processing. The new polymer infiltrated ceramic material (VITA ENAMIC) combines the properties of ceramic and polymer. It consists of “a hybrid structure with two interpenetrating networks of dominating ceramic and a reinforcing composite forming what’s called double network hybrid ceramic material” [15].

A variety of occlusal preparation designs in addition to different intrapulpal depths have been suggested in literature [16-19].

Serin Kalay et al in 2016 [18], compared the fracture resistance of restorations with different cusp reduction designs in endodontically treated maxillary premolars. They concluded that anatomic cusp reduction designs exhibited the highest values among other designs.

Taha et al. [16] tested the fracture resistance of different CAD-CAM machinable blocks: lithium disilicate, polymer infiltrated ceramic network vita enamic, cerasmart resin nanoceramic and zirconia reinforced lithium silicates ceramics unfired celtra duo. Resin nanoceramics and lithium disilicates had the highest fracture values followed by vita enamic. Moreover, all ceramic endocrowns had acceptable marginal adaptation results having them all suitable for clinical use [16,20].

To date, there is no agreement in literature about which material [21] or preparation design [22] can optimally restore endodontically treated teeth with the best mechanical behaviour [23,24].

Thus, the purpose of this study was to assess the effect of two CAD/CAM materials: (Lithium
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disilicate ceramic (emax CAD) and Polymer infiltrated ceramic network (vita enamic), two occlusal preparation designs (horizontal bevel and anatomical on the fracture resistance of endodontically treated upper premolars restored with endocrown restoration.

The Null hypothesis was that the CAD CAM materials and the occlusal preparation designs wouldn't affect the fracture resistance of endocrowns in upper premolars. While, the aging conditions would affect the fracture resistance of the endocrowns.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This research was approved by the committee of Faculty of Dentistry Ain Shams University Research Ethics (FDASU-REC). Recently extracted sixty-four human maxillary first premolars, without caries or visible fracture lines were selected with similar buccolingual (BL) and mesiodistal (MD) dimensions, as determined with a digital caliper allowing a maximum deviation of 10% from the determined mean. Afterwards teeth were cleaned with ultrasonic scaler (SUPRASSO P5 Booster ultrasonic scaler, Mérignac, France) then stored at room temperature in 0.1% thymol solution (Caelo, Hilden, Germany). All the teeth were endodontically treated using the same sequence and by the same operator for the purpose of standardization. The pulp chamber was accessed following its own pulp chamber morphology via a round carbide high speed bur. Canal lengths were determined visually by-passing size #10 K-file through the root canals until being obvious at the apical foramen, working lengths were adjusted 1 mm short from apical foramen. Protaper system (Dentsply-Maillefer; Ballaigues, Switzerland) was used for root canals treatment for standardization following the manufacturer instruction, F2 were used as master file for both canals, sodium hypochlorite was used as an irrigant after each used file. Protaper paper points and gutta percha size F2 were used. Resin based root canal sealant (ADseal, META BIOMED, Chungbuk, Korea) was used and then a red-hot condenser was used for removal of the excess gutta percha. A surveyor was used to ensure upright position of teeth in molds which were filled with non-shrink epoxy resin material placing the margin of the epoxy resin below the cemento-enamel junction by 3 mm. The selected teeth were randomly divided into four groups (n = 16) according to the margin design and occlusal thickness of the restoration All the endodontically treated teeth were prepared using a Computerized Numerical Control (CNC) milling machine (C.N.C Premium 4820, imes-icore, Eiterfeld, Germany) to standardize the preparation dimensions. For all teeth, the CNC milling machine was adjusted to reduce the occlusal surface to yield a pulp chamber with a retention cavity extending 4 mm from the central groove with 80 divergence of the walls. The preparation criteria for each group are shown in (Figure 1). Group EM B represents teeth that were prepared with a butt joint preparation design and received emax CAD endocrown. GroupEN B represents teeth that were prepared with a butt joint preparation design and received Vita Enamic endocrown. On the other hand, Group EM A represents teeth that were prepared with an anatomical occlusal preparation design and received emax CAD endocrown. GroupEN A represents teeth that were prepared with an anatomical occlusal preparation design and received Vita Enamic endocrown. Endocrown restorations were fabricated using The CEREC AC system (Dentsply Sirona, Bensheim, Germany). Omnicam was used for scanning the preparations and the CEREC premium Software (version 4.4) for designing the restorations. Standardized endocrowns were milled with the Cerac MCXL milling machine. To standardize the form and the anatomy, the design of the restoration was obtained by the sole use of the “position” tools (translation and rotation), with no editing of the original shape produced by the software as shown in (figure 2). Then the restorations were milled from polymer infiltrated ceramics VITA ENAMIC blocks 2M2-T-EM-14 (VITA-Zahnfabrik, Bad Säckingen, Germany), polished using the ENAMIC Polishing Set and from lithium disilicate glass ceramic emax CAD A2 LT(Ivoclar Vivadent, Liechtenstein), finished.
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and polished and crystalized as recommended by the manufacturer. Final restorations were measured with a digital caliper for verification of the occlusal thicknesses and the endocore depth extension. The endocrowns were cleaned with 99 % isopropanol in an ultrasonic cleaner for 3 min, while the prepared teeth were cleaned with fluoride-free pumice for 15 s and rinsed thoroughly with water for 15 s. Etching of the bonding surfaces of the endocrowns was done using 9.5 % hydrofluoric acid gel (Porcelain etch, Ultradent Products, South Jordan, UT, USA) for 60 s for vita Enamic and 20 s for emax CAD. The endo-crowns were then rinsed thoroughly for 20 s then dried with oil free compressed air. The surfaces were then silanized with a primer (Porcelain Silane, Ultradent Products) and left to react for 60 s. The enamel of all preparations was selectively etched for 30 s with 37.5 % phosphoric acid (Ultra-Etch, Ultradent Products), rinsed, and dried. Self-adhesive resin cement (G cem, GC, Japan) was applied to the fitting surface of the endocrowns which were placed on their relevant preparations by static finger pressure then axially loaded with a 1 kg load using a specially designed device. The endocrowns were left under the static load for 5 min then exposed to a brief light curing (Elipar, 3M ESPE) for only 2 s. The excess cement was removed with a scaler, and then light curing was done for 20 s for each side. The specimens were stored in distilled water at 37 °C for 24 h. Specimens were divided into 2 subgroups:1) tested for fracture resistance and 2) subjected to 100000 chewing cycles of 50-N loading forces at a frequency of 0.5 Hz using SD Mechatronik, Chewing Simulator,( Willytec, Munich, Germany).

All specimens were loaded vertically on the central fossa of their occlusal surfaces in a universal testing machine (Zwick Z010, Zwick GmbH & Co, Ulm, Germany) until fracture occurred. The loading piston was centered along the long axis of the specimens with a thrust speed of the machine was 0.5 mm/min. The breaking load was recorded in Newtons (N). Data were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) values. Data were presented as mean and standard deviation (SD) values. Data were explored for normality using Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests and showed normal distribution, so parametric tests were used for the analysis. Homogeneity of variance was assessed using Levene's test and by viewing boxplots of the grouped data no outliers were detected. Statistical analyses were performed with IBM® SPSS® (SPSS Inc., IBM Corporation, NY, USA) Statistics Version 26 for Windows and using three-way analyses of variance (ANOVA), followed by serial two-way and one-way ANOVAs at each level of the study. P-values were adjusted for multiple comparisons using BENFORDRONI correction and the significance level was set at P ≤ 0.05 for all tests.

RESULTS

Descriptive statistics of fracture resistance for different groups are listed in Table I and figures 3 and 4. The mean fracture resistance of all test groups was compared across the following factors: ceramic material, occlusal preparation, aging, and their interactions. Results of the three-way ANOVA showed a significant overall interaction between the three variables (P = 0.005). Serial two-way ANOVAs showed that for non-aged samples there was a significant interaction between type of the material and occlusal preparation (p = 0.006). While for aged samples, only type of material had a significant effect on fracture resistance with Vita Enamic (775.06 ± 71.61) having a significantly higher value than Emax samples (710.91 ± 48.22) (p = 0.014). For samples made with butt preparation, there was a significant effect of material and aging; where Vita Enamic samples (957.57 ± 195.28) had a significantly higher value than Emax samples (856.70 ± 169.87) (p = 0.006) and non-aged samples (1068.98 ± 107.37) had a significantly higher value than aged samples (745.29 ± 70.80) (p < 0.001). While for samples with anatomical preparation, there was a significant interaction between type of material and aging (p < 0.001). For Emax samples, only aging had a significant
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Effect (p < 0.001) with non-aged samples (1051.38 ± 101.99) having a significantly higher value. While for Vita Enamic samples, there was a significant interaction between type of preparation and aging (p < 0.001).

Serial one-way ANOVAs of the significant two-way interactions showed that non-aged Vita Enamic samples made with anatomical preparation (1437.58 ± 99.45) had a significantly higher values than Emax samples with the same occlusal preparation (1097.79 ± 97.85) (p < 0.001). While for butt type preparation there was no significant difference between both materials (p = 0.099). Also it showed non aged Vita Enamic samples made with anatomical occlusal preparation (1437.58 ± 99.45) to have a significantly higher value than samples made with butt preparation (1132.99 ± 86.86) (p < 0.001), while for non-aged Emax samples, there was no significant difference between both types of preparation (p = 0.594). All non-aged samples had significantly higher value than aged samples regardless of other factors (p < 0.001) and there was no significant difference in different parameters within aged samples (p > 0.05).

Figure 1 - Different occlusal preparation designs using CNC ((A) Anatomical occlusal preparation and (B) horizontal butt joint preparation).

Figure 2 - Different occlusal preparations designs: (A) Anatomical occlusal preparation and (B) horizontal butt joint preparation.

Figure 3 - Box plot showing fracture resistance (N) in non-aged samples.

Figure 4 - Box plot showing fracture resistance (N) in aged samples.
Table I - Descriptive statistics of fracture resistance for different groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aging</th>
<th>Ceramic material</th>
<th>Occlusal preparation</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Without aging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emax CAD</td>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>1004.97 ± 88.27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anat.</td>
<td>1097.79 ± 97.85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vita Enamic</td>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>1132.99 ± 86.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anat.</td>
<td>1437.58 ± 99.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>With aging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emax CAD</td>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>708.44 ± 61.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anat.</td>
<td>713.38 ± 34.20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vita Enamic</td>
<td>Butt</td>
<td>782.25 ± 61.96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anat.</td>
<td>767.98 ± 83.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

There are no definite preparation guidelines for endodontically treated premolars in literature to guarantee the best biomechanical behaviour. Moreover, the effect of restorative material and the occlusal preparation designs on the fracture resistance are still debatable. Thus, the main purpose of our study was to assess the effect of two CAD/CAM materials (Lithium disilicate ceramic Emax and Polymer infiltrated ceramic network Vita Enamic) and two occlusal preparation designs (horizontal butt reduction and Anatomical reduction) with two aging conditions (No aging and One hundred thousand chewing cycles) on the fracture resistance of endodontically treated upper premolars restored with endocrown restoration. Maxillary premolars were selected in this study to evaluate the success of endocrowns with different designs restoring such teeth with special morphology together with their unique anatomy which is susceptible to cusp deflection [9] and fracture under occlusal loads [25, 26]. Full anatomic restorations were used, because it has been reported that these may allow the restorations to behave in a manner that potentially represents the clinical situation more closely than ceramic discs [27].

Fracture resistance and flexural strength are commonly used to describe the material strength and predict its clinical success or failure [23].

Regarding the results of our study, mean fracture load values for all tested groups were within the range of the maximum chewing forces reported in literature in the posterior area (850N) [28].

Comparing the fracture resistance values of the endocrowns using two CAD/CAM ceramic materials, Serial one-way ANOVAs of the significant two-way interactions showed that non-aged polymer infiltrated ceramic network Vita Enamic samples made with anatomical preparation (1437.58 ± 99.45) had a significantly higher values than lithium Disilicates ceramic Emax samples with the same occlusal preparation (1097.79 ± 97.85).

This could be explained by the combination of Modulus of elasticity and the high resilience of this polymer infiltrated ceramic (30GPa) which is more comparable to the natural dentin (13.3) in contrast to emax CAD (95GPa). The dual ceramic polymer structure of such hybrid material features integrated crack propagation, uniform stress distribution and shock absorbing capacity. In this context, our results confirm the concept of biomimetics where substrates with similar modulus of elasticity behaves uniformly and integrally in contrast to those of different modulus of elasticity that behaves differently under stress application eventually leading to failure [29].

This is going well with Taha et al [17] who evaluated the fracture resistance of molar endocrowns restored with vita Enamic and concluded that such hybrid material can provide a range of acceptable values of fracture resistance (shoulder finish line with occlusal preparation of 3.5mm showed the highest mean fracture load value (1.27 ± 0.31 kN) while butt joint with 2mm occlusal preparation showed the lowest value (0.88 ± 0.16 kN)). Furthermore, this is following the concept by ZHU J et al in their finite element analysis study, they proved that although using a high elastic modulus material like zirconia or lithium disilicates results in less deformation to load but all the stress where transferred to the remaining teeth structure
and might lead to further tooth fracture in the future, while the use of a low modulus of elasticity material as leucite material or even composite resin lead to more stress distribution and less stress concentration leading to less tooth fracture and longer survival rate [30].

It's also worth mentioning that the weibull modulus is a critical parameter for ceramics which is used to describe the variability in the material strength. As such the strength is best represented by weibull modulus as a distribution of values rather than a specific value [31,32].

Moreover, our idea of using hybrid ceramic for restoring maxillary premolars have been supported with a systemic review made by Al-Dabbagh et al. [23], confirming that the polymer matrix have a considerable effect in force dissipation and better distribution along the teeth long axis. They stressed on many studies using resin ceramics restoring maxillary premolars confirming their higher fracture strength and lower catastrophic failures than lithium Disilicates ceramics [33-38].

As for the two occlusal surface designs used in our study, non-aged Vita Enamic samples made with anatomical occlusal preparation (1437.58 ± 99.45) had a significantly higher value than samples made with butt preparation (1132.99 ± 86.86) (p < 0.001), This could be correlated to the better stress distribution and force direction following the original premolar anatomy. Moreover, the anatomical preparation allowed fabrication of a restoration with uniform thickness all over the entire occlusal surface in contrast to the butt joint design which must lead to a lesser ceramic thickness in the central fossa in comparison to the cusp tips area. The difference in the ceramic thickness might lead to stress concentration along the ceramic leading to fracture at earlier load than the thicker uniform anatomical design.

Our results were confirmed by Kalay et al who compared the effect of different occlusal thicknesses and occlusal designs for cusp coverage restoration with Anatomic preparation design 1110.37 ± 235.05N and 2.5 mm occlusal thickness with horizontal butt joint preparation design 837.24 ± 207.76), they tested different designs and thicknesses and concluded that adhesive cusp coverage for endotreated maxillary premolars with MOD cavities greatly increased the restoration fracture strength. At least 2.5mm was required to achieve a reasonable fracture resistance accompanied with favourable fracture pattern. Anatomical cusp reduction design proved to have better fracture resistance with a favourable fracture pattern due to the axial direction of the cusp reduction design, which would lead to a favorable distribution of occlusal forces and transfer to the tooth structure when a compressive load is applied [18].

The main advantage of a wide occlusal step is to provide a wide stable step for resisting compressive stresses. [39] Various studies proved that anatomical occlusal preparation parallel to the original occlusal surface was the best protocol to have a perfect force distribution along the major tooth axis, [40] leading to stress levels in teeth restored with endocrown restorations much lower than teeth with prosthetic crowns [41,42].

In vitro studies were designed to test different materials, designs or even novel ideas before intraoral application so the conditions of the in vitro study should simulate the clinical intraoral conditions as much as possible which could be achieved through a variety of approaches where aging through mechanical chewing simulator is considered a highly reliable one.

Thus different in vitro aging conditions were suggested to simulate the realistic complex oral environment as thermocycling, acid aging, mechanical and thermomechanical chewing simulators [43,44].

Our study was following a well-known protocol of mechanical aging using mechatronic chewing simulator subjecting the specimens to 100000 chewing cycles [18, 45] that represents around 4-5 months of intraoral conditions.
In our study all the specimens survived after the chewing simulator indicating that all designs materials have the minimum requirements to withstand the intraoral conditions for at least 4-5 months.

To sum up the results of our study, the null hypothesis was partially rejected, where the CAD CAM materials and the two occlusal preparation designs had shown a significant effect on the fracture resistance values. The null hypothesis was accepted in case of the aging conditions where it had a significant effect on the fracture resistance of endocrown premolars.

CONCLUSIONS

Within the limitations in this study the following conclusions could be withdrawn:

1. All tested endocrowns showed fracture resistance values within the range of the maximum chewing forces in the posterior area and survived the chewing simulator;

2. Vita Enamic (Polymer Infiltrated Ceramic Network) presented higher fracture resistance and survived the chewing simulator; maximum chewing forces in the posterior area that all designs materials have the minimum requirements to withstand the intraoral conditions for at least 4-5 months.

3. Anatomical occlusal reduction significantly improved the fracture resistance of endocrowns in upper premolars.
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