

Dear Camila Nogueira Chamma-Wedemann, Renato Sartori Lardin Sanchez ,  
Pedro Silva Pacheco , Marcio Katsuyoshi Mukai , Newton Sesma, Emily Vivianne  
Freitas da Silva:

Your submission Applicability of the reverse scan body technique for the  
fabrication of implant-supported prostheses: A scoping review to Brazilian Dental  
Science, has been revised and according to reviewers' comments, there are  
questions to be addressed and/or points to be clarified/corrected.  
Please answer the reviewers considerations point-by-point in a separate document  
and also please make all the corrections in the text highlighted in yellow.

Deadline: 30 days

Thank you for considering Brazilian Dental Science for publishing your research.  
We are looking forward the revised version of you manuscript.

Sincerely,

Editor comments:

**As part of our standard review and compliance procedures, we kindly ask you to confirm whether any artificial intelligence (AI) tools (such as ChatGPT or others) were used in the writing, editing, analysis, or preparation of this manuscript.**

**If AI tools were used, please specify the tools, the purpose, and the extent of their contribution. If no AI tools were used, please confirm this as well.**

---

Recommendation: Revisions Required

---

*Comments to the Author*

Why was QUADAS-2 selected for assessing in vitro and technique-report studies, and how do you justify its applicability to these?

Can you elaborate on how you determined that meta-analysis was not feasible? Was a structured heterogeneity analysis performed?

What are the main limitations of the RST that clinicians should be aware of, based on the reviewed evidence? As clinical relevance has not been fully addressed. Such as how does the reported trueness/ precision affect clinical outcomes?

---